G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references 1921. **File No.** 149 - 21

(Anuvgrai)

Serial Nos. 1-4, 6, 8-10.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Eight

Part B for May 1923

SUBJECT

Appointment of Pujari to Kalle-
Sree Devare Temple in Chikkaraeau
-Kere in Malavalli Taluk

Re Mer Comm's a/c upkeep
E.O 2805 dt 16.5.23
Tuq-21-10

Govt approves of the proposal
of Mer: Comm's.

Printed on the Multigraph.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(muzrai)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Appointment of a Fujari to Kotte Ugra Narasimha temple in Chikkanayakanahalli in the Tiptur taluk.

From

Bhaira Setty and 2 others of Chikkanayakanahalli

No. Petition Dated Received 27-8-21.

Diary No.	Enclos. 2	Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 1
3501			

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Dy. Commr's order directing that a Shrotriem be appointed to the Fujari of the said temple, and praying that pending disposal of the appeal, the execution of the Dy. Commr's order may be stayed.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The petition is duly stamped. The Dy Commr's order appealed against, is dated 29-7-1921, while the appeal petition was received on 27.8.21. It is in time.

The grounds for appeal are summarily

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 149 of 1921.

Serial No.

on the petition.
Submitted for orders.
1.9.21. Petn may be sent to the Dy Com[r] for a report with cont records. Inspection does not arise.
1.9.21

There are no grounds for the issue of a writ
Order
First Member 2/9/21

1634-54
2.9.21

I agree - Records with report may be called for.
3.9.21 2/9/21

Dft. Sd.
1.9.21

2 No 1326 dated 8-9-21
Do. The D.C. Mysore
Forwards the above for a detailed report with records
Endorsement to petitioner

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 14 of 1921.

Serial No.	
3	From, The Dy. Comr., Mysore Dist. Letter no 123 Dy 24.10.21. Submits report with records called for in Sl. 2. The facts of the case are summarized in the Dy. Comrs orders Dy 17th Aug. 1920 and 29th July 1921. The Dy Comrs order of July 1921 gives reasons for the appointment of a Srivaishnava as Pujari of the Temple of Byre Devaru. If directed, the appeal will be posted for hearing. Submits for orders. 2-11-1921. All the persons who assembled in response to the notice issued by the Amildar on 1.5.21 numbering 219 from about 10 villages are said to have voted for a Srivaishnava pujari for the temple. No Banajiga are said to have attended, nor anybody voted for a Brahmin. Vide Dy Comrs order Dy 29.7.21

Vide Dy Comrs order Dy 29.7.21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-21.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 1169 of 1921.

Serial No.

If necr the case may be posted for hearing

BA
3/11/24

The Appellants Counsel ~~the State~~ that they had no notice of the enquiry. Hear put up for note on the point and on the other points raised in the appeal memo.

28/2/24.

The appellants allege in the petition that they had no notice either of the fact that the Amildar had been directed by the Dy. Commr. to hold an enquiry or of the date fixed for the appearance of the parties before the Amildar, while the Dy. Commr's order says that the Amildar held a meeting on 1st May 1921, that at the meeting, 219 denotes were present etc.

The records relating to the Amildar's enquiry have not been received.

Dft. Subsidiary for appellants orders.

BA
20.12.24. 3/12/24.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Misc File No. 149 of 1921-22.

Serial No.	
4	To The Deputy Commr. Rangpur dictd No: 2951 dated 17th Dec: 1921 Calls for a further report in the matter.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. No. 4073
149-21-5

A copy of the order appealed against has not been produced.

The old appeal referred to in the order was disposed of by the Court inasmuch as the order of the Commr was set aside and he was directed to pass a fresh order in the light of the findings given by the Court. That appeal is not pending here.

Should be called for

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III--3

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record]

Upon to make a
copy of the order appealed
against. I submit it,
no appeal can lie
unless contained or
a stay order passed.

Secy (Revenue) 28/8/22

5-30-309 Ord & Petn
30.3.22 accepy
Recs
1.6.22

Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

W D 1880 GPS 750 000 2/21

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

(Sl.4)

Mem. J. no 149-20 on the subject is submitted. Further information called for from the Dy Com^r has not been received as yet; this a letter was also issued on 25th March 1922.

The process petrs who now apply for a stay order have been informed vide ref. A on their 1st appeal petition & that there were no grounds for the issue of a stay order as prayed for. Further report may be awaited.

Sl. 2

Submitted.

Sl. 2

Submitted with a

3.4.22

Member for Revenue

Secretary to Govt

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Sl 6. No 9 April 22
to the D.C.C. Meyse-
Forward the file to
D.C. in Continuation of
this office No 2951/9 17-12-21

~~Sub Inspector~~
Given to Inspr to see No
Stay order can be given
Asst
7/4

As Report is pending
for appln
Vernon
7/4
8/4
7.4.22

Subd. The trial member
for bail perused.

8/4
7/4/22

5-5-5-6-307
15.4.22

8/4 ...
Well -
17.4.22
Asst
7/4

N B Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III-2]

10

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

The papers may be transferred to the Judicial Secy for disposal.

BG
12/1/23

Secy, Judl Secy
Secy Judl Secy
12-1-23

The Secy Com. Secy has the power to make such appts; vide Clg of C&A in the schedule of G.O. No 1332-63/Min 63-22 — of 25-9-22

BG
12/1/23

Secy Judl Secy

Secy Judl Secy

Secy Judl Secy

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 149 of 1991.

Serial No.

8. To, The Hnz Comn
No 2599 Dy 3-4-1923.
Requests The Submission of a report with his opinion in the matter of the appointment of a Pujari to Katte Byre Devara temple at Chikkanahalli village.

9. From, The Hnz Comn
Letter no. C. 1142 Dy 23/4/23
Submits fourteen reports called for in Sl-8.

The Hnz Comn reports that it appears to him that the appellants Bhaira Setty and others enabled attending the Bailiff's enquiry and that their Vakil was heard by the Dy Comn. In view of the feelings that appear to exist, The Hnz Comn is of opinion that it would seem desirable to give the appellants another chance of producing their evidence before the Bailiff provided they agree to notice serve on some one individual of their sect to be selected by them

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 1169 of 19 21

Serial No.

their Advocate, as equivalent to notice served on all of them — So that the plea of want of notice might not operate in the legal

Submitted for orders as to whether the recommendation of the Murree Comr. may be approved.

26.4.23

Home Member! 27/4/23

A

8996-48
2.5.23

A approves as he may be likely to meet recommendation

Pul
3.5.23

S & N
5/5

Draft letter sent.
MK. BG
9.5.23. 9/5/23

9/5

Secretary
Up!!

Draft letter to be sent Murree Comr.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

Approves the proposal in the matter of the appt. of a Punjabi to the temple of Sri Ma Kali. Necessary action be taken accordingly in the matter.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

Date of issue 8-9-21.

Signed by

Section Inms

File No. 149 21

Serial No. 2

No. 1326 Inc: one

To To, The Dy. Commr,

Mysore Dist.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for favor of a detailed report with reference petition regd. no. 735 from Bhaira Setti and two others of Chikkanahalli-kere Village, Malavalli Taluq, appealing against your order directing the eviction

over.

of a Sriachaw as the Pujari
of Kalle Byre Devaru
temple in the Village. The applts have
informed that there.
I have no grounds for the issue
of a stay order.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 2.8.21

Signed by .....

Section Jmw2

File No. 14/7-21

Serial No. 2

No. 13127

To Endorsement granted to Bhaira Sotti and ~~his~~ three Lrs. to their petition regd. no. 735 appealing against the Dy. Commr's. order directing the appointment of A Sivachar as the Pujari of ~~the~~ Byre Devaru Temple in Chikkana Sivakere village, Malavalli Taluk.

In Reply, &c.

over.

are in That a request
with reasons has been called
for from the Dy. County
Mayors Dec^n, and that
there are no grounds for
the issue of a stay order as
prayed for.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

1604

1921-22 18

From Deputy Commissioner, 20
1619-21 Nagpur district

To Secretary 13265
to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Nagpur, Nagpur Secretariat, Bombay.

Dated
Despatched 24th October 1921.
Received 27 OCT 1921
General No. Ref. No. 123 of 21-22
No.

Enclosure No. one bundle containing 5 files.

Submits herewith the records relating to the appointment of a pujari of the Kalika Devi temple in Chikhalmahadeo village Umrer taluka

Ref. your letter No. 1619-21 dated 20th October 1921 and enclosures relating

Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 24th October 1921.

From

S. Sriramaiya, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

M.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

As desired in your letter No.1326/Muz.149-21-2 dated 8th September 21, I have the honor to submit herewith the records relating to the appointment of a pujari of the Kattu Byra Devaru temple in Chikkaramirakere village, Malvalli taluk.

2. The facts of the case are fully set forth in the preamble of this office order No. 387 dated 29th ult under appeal (vide flag Z).

3. The appeal petition and other enclosures received with your letter under advertance are are also returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

P. Narayanaiya

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to——————

Section Uj jm 1

File No. 119-21

Serial No 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

No.

Date of issue——————

Signed by——————

To

The Dy Commr,

Mysore Dist

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 123 dt. 26th October 21 submitting the recommendation to the appointment of a Pujari to Katte Byre Devaru temple in Chikkanahalli village, Nalavadi Taluk, I am directed to convey that the appointment

over.

no notice either of the fact that the Amildar had been directed by the Dy. Commr to hold an enquiry or of the date fixed for the appearance of the parties before that officer Amildar; and to report that you will be so kind as to send up a report on this point.

The Vth record relating to the Amildar's enquiry in May 1921 which has been refd. to in their order appealed against, may kindly be submitted for reference.

(2) The appeal petition and the entire record are herewith returned for ref. & return

I have etc.,

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Asst. Secretary

3/12/21

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to—————

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191*

Section Inm,
File No. 47 of
Serial No. 6

No. 53-97

Date of issue 8-6-22

To

The Dy. Comrs.
Mysore Dists.

Signed by Mr: R. Vallaan

Sir,

In Contn of the marginally-noted communications from this office calling for a report in the matter of the appointment of a Pujari & Kattle Bhyre Devaru Temple in Chikka anasirakere Village in the Malavalli Taluk

over.

No. 2957 dy 17.12.21
" 5222 dy 25.3.22

herewith petition regd. no.
6073 from Baira Sethy and
others on the subject,
and to request that the
Rules of the report
already called for on the
subject, may be expedited.

I have etc,

Hon. Secy

Hon. Secretary.

3/4/22

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section

File No. C - 71

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

No. 2577/C-10

To

Date of issue 3.12.73

Signed by

The Superintendent
of Police
Kurunegala

Sir,

G.O.N°. 75.66/Muz 143 20-6 & 24.1.71

N°. 9591/Muz. 149-71-4 & 1.12.71

N°. 5599/Muz 149 71 64 & 4.12.72

Acc. Subsequent Correspondence

I am directed to
invite your attention to the Departmental
Circular [illegible] dated [illegible]
[illegible] Addressed to Superintendents
Estate Police and to request
that the instructions contained
in that Circular may be strictly
observed.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your obedient servant,

for Secretary.

Gaw 2373

And Request that
the Report called for
~~Hon~~ in the Com^{rs} Req^{ts}
may be sent from the
Bd Com^{r} at a very Early
date and that a Copy
of Orders with Your
Own Opinion in the
Matter -

Sch. Req^{s}. Nos 1854 & 21-3-23 sent from Arthur Nimalka
Thavarasa &c - & Chithambaram Pillayar for
early orders in the matter is also
herewith forwarded.

List of enclosures.

28/3/23

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7-22

C 1442 of 22-23
one & one file

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
Dated, Bangalore, the 20th April 1923.

D 2015
23

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

SECRETARIAT. 24 APR 1923 MUZRAI

37935

To

T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

24 APR 1923

Sir,

Referring to your letter No.2599/Muz.149-21-8 dated 3rd April 1923 forwarding for report, the petition of Ninga Setty of Chikkarasina-kere, archak of the Katte Byredevaru temple at the place in regard to the appointment of a Pujari to the aforesaid temple, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.Ref.C.123 of 21-22 dated 9th April 1923 (copy of which is herein enclosed) and to state that it would appear from the Deputy Commissioner's report that the appellants (Thaira setty and others) evaded, attending the Amildar's enquiry, that their Vakil was heard by the Deputy Commissioner and so that their plea of orders having been passed without notice is not tenable.

2. In view, however, of the feelings that appear to exist, it would seem desirable to give the appellants another chance of producing their evidence before the Amildar, provided they agree to notice served on some one individual of their sect to be selected by appellants or their Advocate, as equivalent to notice served on all of them so that the plea of want of notice might not again be urged.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. Chandy
Muzrai Commissioner.

D.N.

Commissioner. 20/4/23.

Letter No.Ref.C.123 dated 9th April 1923, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore:-

---

"Adverting to the Muzrai Secretary's letter No.2951/Muz.149-21-4 dated 17th December 1921 (copy enclosed) calling for a report on certain point alleged by the appellants (before Government) Pyra Setty and others re: the appointment of a Poojari to Katte Pyre Devaru Temple at Chikka-arsinkere village, Malavally Taluk, I have the honor to state that the allegation made by Bhyre Shetty and others that they had no notice of the enquiry or of the date fixed for the appearance of the parties before the Amildar for the purpose, appears to be false. For, it is seen from the records of enquiry held by the Amildar that when first a notice was issued and sent for service, fixing 1st May 1921, for enquiring the devotees concerned in the matter of appointing a Poojari in question, the Banajigars as a class, though they were informed by the Patel and Sheikdar of the substance of the notice seem to have refused to endorse the notice in token of service ~~of~~ or to attend the place of meeting (Temple Maidan) on the date fixed. This fact is proved by the reports made by the Patel, Sheikdar, and the Amildar respectively and from a mahazar drawn up (vide Flags A to D of the enclosed file No.R.Dis.6/21-22 which contained the vernacular records of enquiry held by the Amildar in May 1921.)

If really the present appellants before Government, had any such grievance, they would certainly have urged on the point now raised, - before the Deputy Commissioner on the date of final hearing viz, 27th July 1921 when orders were passed after hearing both the parties. The fact that they did not do so at the time, is itself a clear proof that their present allegation is false.

The petitions received with the* marginally noted communications are herewith returned. The entire files of connected vernacular records are also retransmitted.

* Muzrai Secretary' No.2951/Muz.149-21-4 dated 17th December 21,
-Do- 5597/Muz.149-21-6 dated 8th April 1922,
Muzrai Commissioner's No.C.1442/22-23 dated 6th April 1923.

Copy.

D.N. for Muzrai Commissioner. 20/4/23.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT Appeal of the Muzrai Comm'r's proposal to allow another chance to the appellants to produce their evidence before the Guilder in connection with the appt. of a Pujari to the Boya Devaru temple at Chikkanaikanahalli

Diary No. of letter replied to 2045/1922-23.

Section

File No. Muz-74

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

No. 2805

Date of issue 16.5.23

Signed by [illegible]

To

The Muzrai Comm'r in Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 4.1462 of 22-23 dated 23rd April 1923 in the matter of the appointment of a Boya Pujari to the Sri Kattu Boya Devaru temple at Chikkanaikanahalli, Malavalli Taluk, I am to

over.

16/5/23

~~Clutterbuck~~ in view of the feelings that appear to ~~that~~ the appellants Baina Getty and others may be given another chance of producing their evidence before the Amildar provided they agree to notice ~~being~~ served on some one individual of their act to be selected by the appellants themselves or their Advocate as equivalent to notice served on all of them, so that the plea of want of notice might again be urged, I am directed to state that your appeal of your proposal & that action may be taken accordingly ~~by me~~ in the matter.

2. The memorandum records received with your letter are herewith returned.

I have &c.,

Secretary to Govt.

Revenue Dept.

9/5/23

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22